

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 49 of 99

Serial Nos. 1-16

~~191~~ 99
(9.7.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

237-E

Serial Nos. 1-19

Part B for 99.

SUBJECT.

Amount of security to be deposited by the
Deputy Sheriff of a District Treasury.

3479-87 to the S. C. of Districts, Comptroller.
Fe. 322

Mysore Govt. notifies that the Government are
pleased to substitute 1000 Rs as the amount
of security to be deposited in the case of a
District Treasury Sheriff as in para 1 Rule VIII of
the M. Land Revenue Rules.

✓ (1)

①

1880.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONERS OF DISTRICTS.
„ DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

Dated 26th February.

Despatched

Received

No. 6356-64.
Mis. 639.

Issues instructions relative to the nature and amount of security to be taken from officials of the District Revenue Establishments responsible for the custody of Public money.

2

*Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore in the Revenue Department,
(Financial), dated 26th February, 1880.*

READ—

Circular Order No. 1214-23—R. 248 of the 3rd July 1879, calling for a report on the securities to be taken from officials responsible for the safety of public money.

The following replies to the above Circular :—

Docket from the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, No. 602—183 of the 5th May 1879.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur, No. 632, dated 16th July 1879.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldroog, No. 157, dated 22nd July 1879.

Docket from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, No. 104, dated 23rd July 1879.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkūr, No. 68, dated 12th August 1879.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga, No. 96, dated 29th August 1879.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, No. 1576, dated 23rd December 1879.

Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan, No. 1157, dated 24th December 1879.

No. 6356-64—Mis. 639, DATED BANGALORE, 26TH FEBRUARY 1880.

ORDER THEREON.—The Chief Commissioner considers it necessary that a uniform system should be followed in regard to taking securities from officers who are responsible for public money. The accompanying list represents the officials from whom securities should be taken in the Revenue Establishments in the Districts, and the amount of security to be demanded. It is based upon existing arrangements with a few slight alterations; but the amounts entered refer only to securities in money, *i. e.*, actual cash, or Government Promissory Notes or deposits in Government Savings Banks, which could readily and without difficulty be converted into money when required. Having regard however to the fact that the securities available in the Province consist chiefly of land and houses and seldom of money investments in Government paper, the Chief Commissioner will not object to taking the whole amount of the security required in immoveable property in the cases of officials from whom a security of Rs. 500 and under is required. In cases where larger amounts of securities are provided for, one half of such securities may be in landed property and the other half in money. Provided that, where security in immoveable property is thus taken, in lieu of money, it should be for double the amount of the money security provided for. Care should be taken that the landed property admitted is free from other claims or incumbrances, and is of a kind not subject to deterioration or great fluctuation in value, or at least that ample allowance is made in the valuation for such deterioration, and that the bond is executed by all the parties who possess a right or have any claims on the property.

2. Personal securities are in no case to be admitted, and where the security demanded is small, that is, not above 100 Rs., money security should be required to save the trouble involved in the institution of the necessary enquiry and the execution of bonds for securities of landed property.

3. As at present the sufficiency of the securities in each case should be enquired into at the close of every year and reported.

4. The Chief Commissioner does not consider it necessary to take any security from Treasury Accountants and Nagadi Gumastas who, though they keep the accounts of the Treasury transactions, are not directly and regularly in charge of money, but securities from Taluk Sheristadars who are in immediate charge of Taluk Treasuries are provided for.

C. RANGACHARIJU,
Revenue Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
„ Deputy Accountant General.

*Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore in the Revenue Department,
(Financial),—dated 26th February 1880.*

LIST.

Designation of Official.	Amount of Security required.	
	Rs.	
District Treasurers at Mysore, Bangalore and Shimoga	7,000	
District Treasurers in other Districts	5,000	
Shroffs at District Treasuries	1,000	
Taluk Sheristadars	500	
Taluk Treasury Shroffs	500	

4 (Note Contd.)

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT,

Regarding the amount
of Security to be fur-
-nished by the Dy.
Shroff of a District
Treasury —

LAST REFERENCE

Letter No. 470 dated 27th Nov^r 1887
393
from the Dy Comm^r, Shimoga.

Under Rule 1 of the
Land Rev: Rules, a "Dis-
-trict Treasury Shroff"
is required to furnish
Security to the amount
of Rs. 1000. But there
are two Shroffs in a
District Treasury, one
being styled as
the Head Shroff on Rs 25/-
& the other as Assistant
Shroff on Rs. 20.

2. The Dy Comm^r, Shimoga,
states that as the Revenue
Rules do not say anything

about the Assistant
Sheroff, he is also required

to furnish security to
the amount of Rs. 1000/-
under the orders of the
late Chief Commr (vide Progs.

no. 6356-64 of 26/2/1880). & Copy/attached
Mis: 639

which require that "Sheroffs
at District Treasuries"

should furnish security
to the amount of Rs. 1000/-.

He considers that as
the Assistant Sheroff
of the District Treasury
works under the Head

Sheroff, his (Asst. Sheroff)

responsibility is less
than that of the Taluk

Treasury Sheroff whose
pay is Rs. 15 rising to 20 Rs.

& who is required to
give security to the amount
of Rs. 500/-, & that

therefore it would be
enough to take a security

of Rs. 500 from the Asst.
Sheroff of the Dist. Treas.

He requests orders in the

6

matter, as a Shroff of a Taluk Treasury, who has been appointed as a Dy Shroff in the Dist. Treasury, represents that he has some difficulty in furnishing cash security

* This is based on information supplied by annual statements of securities sent by Dy Com^r under para 8 of Rule VIII of the L R Rules.

2. The Dy Shroffs in the other District Treasuries have furnished securities to the amount of Rs. 1000/each. Considering the fact that the Dy Shroff of a District Treasury may, whenever it so happens, be required to do his duty independently & be entrusted with considerable amount of money for disbursement etc., it is but necessary that he should also furnish a security of Rs. 1000/.. If the Dy Shroff, in the present case, finds it difficult to furnish cash security, ^{of Rs. 1000} Rs. 500 may be given in cash & Rs. 500 in landed property as

as allowed by para 6
of Rule VIII of the L. Rev. Rules.

7

The Head Sheroff and Dy
Sheroff of the Punjab
Treasury are required
to give a Security of
Rs. 500 each.

SS
20/12/89

The S (or assistant) Sheroff

is, as a matter of fact, called
upon to perform the duties of
the Head Sheroff when the
latter goes on leave.

The assistant
Sheroff is believed to have existed even in
1880 & no difference in the name
20/12/89

Please call at the Comptroller
21-12-89

Drafted
SS 22/12/89

The Comptroller, who was
consulted as per above adrs

x Vede no 4640 of
23. 12. 99. 22583

④ His lasterms 3632 of 8
18 - 1 - 00.

⑤ States that he considers \$500/ as sufficient security to be given by the Shroff in question, provided there be no necessity to extrude him at any time with more than that amount.

as
12 00 A great deal depends on the proviso in the Compton letter

Supd Secy

1/2/00

Ask the Dy Commr of Shering who that he knows to give effect to ~~any~~ its proviso of
2/2/00.

Supd Secy

3-2-00.

Subs with draft

3-2-00.

News continued.

40 5673 of 7-2-00
72791

The Deputy Commissioner, Shering B. Wick, who has

written to as per orders, states
 that the British Treasury Officer
 to whom a copy of the
 Comptroller's letter was
 forwarded for opinion,
 states that Rs 500 is a sufficient
 security to be taken from
 the Deputy Shroff inasmuch
 as he is not in independent
 charge and is only to aid
 the Head Shroff. The Deputy

Q. His no 825
 867
 of 14.3.00
 (X) His no 3632 of 18.1.00

Commissioner also states
 that he has accordingly
 ordered the Deputy Shroff
 to furnish security to the
 amount of Rs 500/- ~~being~~
 having due regard to
 the fact that he is not to
 be entrusted at any time
 with more than Rs 1000/-

The points for orders
 are -

21.3.00

- Whether the action taken by
 the Shi. Govt. should be
 confirmed
- Whether the above rule
 should be extended to other
 districts where Shroffs have
 i.e. securities of Rs 1000/- each -
 Submitted to Secretary
 27/3/00

10

Draft a general order (as addenda
to the Rule) and submit.

(24) C. S.

28.3.00

Re-draft as per orders (7)

Read the following:-

- (1) Letter no. 1470 dated 27th November 1899 from the Dy Commr, Shimoga District stating that Rule VIII, para 1, of the Mysore Land Rev. Rules which requires that a security of Rs. 1000 be obtained from the District Treasury Shroff, makes no mention of the security to be taken from the Dy Shroff in the District Treasury, and that the latter is, however, required to give a security of Rs. 1000 presumably under the late Chief Commr's order No 6356-64 826-2-1880, & Mis: 639 soliciting orders as to whether a security of Rs. 500 is not sufficient in his case considering that his responsibility in a District Treasury is less than that of a Taluk Treasury Shroff from whom a security of Rs. 500 is taken.
- (2) Letter no. 3632 dated 18th

11


File No	1093
	6

71

January 1900 from the
Comptroller, Mysore Govt
Stating that Rs. 500/-
is a Sufficient Security
to be taken from the Dy
Sheriff, provided that he
will not ~~be~~ entrusted at
any time with more than
that amount.

12✓

(3) Letter no. 805 dated
14th March 1900 from the
Dy Comptroller, Stating that
the District Treasury Officer
Considers Rs. 500 to be a
Sufficient Security in the
Case of the Dy Sheriff, as his
duty is only to aid the
Head Sheriff, and that the
Dy Sheriff has been accordingly
ordered to furnish a Security
of Rs. 500, having due re-
gard to the fact that he
is not to be entrusted at
any time with more than
that sum.



Order thereon

The Govt Consider that
the practice of taking a
Security of Rs. 1000 from the

Deputy Sheriff of a District
 Treasury is not guide in
 keeping with the embargoes
 and responsibilities attached
 to his duties, and agree in
 thinking ^{that} ~~the~~ security in
 his case should be reduced
 to \$0.500/ which is the

Amount of Security re-
 quired from the Sheriff
 of a Taluk Treasury. They
 are accordingly pleased to
 approve of the Embargoes
 action in directing the Dy
 Sheriff of his District Treasury

to furnish a Security of
 Rs. 500/- Subject Strictly
 to the proviso that he is
 not, at any time, entrusted
 with more than that
 amount. The same rule
 will apply to the Dy Sheriffs
 of other District Treasuries.

2. The following notification
 also be published in the
 Gazette.

Notification.

The Govt of Mysore are

pleased to direct that the 14
following be substituted
for " District Treasury
Shroff : Rs. 1000"
in para 1, Rule viii of the
Mysore Land Rev: Rules:-

" District Treasury } Rs. 1000"
Head Shroff

" District Treasury } Rs. 500"
Deputy Shroff.

Print
26/11/00
Secretary

To.
14/11/00
The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
" Dy Commrs of Districts.

Ad by Dewan
Spec 4

26/11/00
Issue
n 30/11/00

Copy of the通知 is
forwarded to the Compiler,
Mysore Gazette for
publication in the Gazette.

"By Order"

11/11/00
Secretary

MATTER FOR DISPOSAL BY CIRCULATION

SUBJECT

The amount of security to
be furnished by the Deputy
Shroff of a District Treasury.

Circulated to Councilors

By Order,

Manabala R.
For Secretary.

Name	Date of receipt	Date when passed on
Rajadharma Pravina T. R. A. Thumboo Cletty, Esq., C.I.E.		
P.N. Krishna Murti, Esq., C.I.E.	9.5.900	Seen by 18.
V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq., C.I.E.		
The Secretary ...		

Res. Pn. kindly supply to
the above points. All
11/5/1900

I Why should not
the Secy send the
sum in an oblation
note with the
sum in this matter. 9-5-1900.
What is the difference
in the pay of men two
off? have not
the Secy shroff he
told had on the
duty of the Secy
Shroff his
absence which
may happen
in the frequent
10.00.00 M.C.

Submitted to 2nd Committee

Please see & see allon-
gues on the facing
sheet below: —

Planned
for the
other 2000

used
1.6.00

Q
316100 / 22

I. might be done.

II. The pay of the Head
Shroff is Rs: 25
& that of the Dy
Shroff is Rs: 20.
Difference Rs 5/.

III. The Hd: Shroff
take long leave
the Dy Shroff
be appointed
to act for the
former. The Dy
Shroff might
be required to
furnish additional
security. or
the Hd Shroff
might transfer
his security or
so much of it
as would be
needed by the Dy
Shroff —

If the Hd
Shroff takes ~~long~~
short leave

Diff sub
6/6

he may agree
his deputy Shroff
doing the Hd. Shroff
duties on his responsi-
-bilities of the Hd. Shroff
or the Dy Shroff
may be entrusted
with money ^{only} of the
extent of the security
given by the latter.

The Dy Shroff
is not in independent
charge. He is only
to assist the Hd Shroff -

17

32
14/5/00

22/10-11
7.5 910.

~~Draft~~
~~Prepare~~ a General order
 (in addition to the
 Rule) & submit

29/3/00
 V. 79/11
 11/4/00

Subd with drafts.

2/4/00.

6/4/00

Subd in Confirmation.

⊗ P. no 7

A draft rule prepared
 as directed above, prescribing
 the Security to be furnished by
 the District Treasury Head
 Shroff at Rs. 1000 and that
 to be furnished by the Dy
 Shroff at Rs. 500/-, was
 approved by the Dewan.
 & placed before the Council.
 The 2^d Councillor (now 1st cr.)
 recorded the following opinion.

"Why should not other Dy
 Commrs be given an opportunity
 to express their opinions in
 this matter. What is the
 difference in the pay of these
 two officials? Will not
 the Dy Shroff be told to do
 the duties of the Head Shroff

in his absence, which may happen not infrequently".

19

Accordingly the opinion of the other Dy Commrs was invited in this office No. 8654-b of 18/6/00 - R:1128

The Dy Commrs of Kolar⁽¹⁰⁾

Tumkur⁽⁹⁾, Mysore⁽¹³⁾ and

Chitaldroog⁽¹¹⁾, are in favor of the proposed

rule, while the Dy Commrs of Bangalore & Hassan State

⊙ P.no. 14

x P.no. 12

that the Security to be furnished by the Hd & Deputy Shroffs may be the same, i.e Rs. 1000.

as their responsibilities are equal. The Deputy Commr, Hassan District, States that

x P.no. 16.

if the Security in the case of the Dy Shroff is to be reduced to Rs. 500/- on account of his pay being less than that of the Head Shroff, the case of the Taluk Treasury Shroff should also be taken into consideration, as his pay & responsibility are less than those of the District Treasury Shroffs.

The Compt^r, who was con-
 -sulted as per Offg. Dewan's
 orders, states that the amount
 of Security of the District
 Treasury. Hd. Shroff may
 be allowed to remain as
 at present, that the Dy
 Shroffs who. it is believed,
 work under the Head Shroff
 as their Assistants and
 as such not being entrusted
 with the same amount
 of Cash nor invested with
 the same independent
 responsibility, receive
 only smaller pay, that
 their Security may there-
 fore be reduced to Rs. 500/-
 & that he is not in favor
 of reducing the amount
 of Security to be furnished
 by Taluk Treasury Shroffs
 who are solely responsible
 for all cash transactions -

Submitted to Secretary
 with ref. to Offg. Dewan's orders
 overleaf. 17/9/00

Subd to Offg. Dewan
18-9-00

21
 x No. 1700 of 22/8/00.
 R: 146
 ② No. 1431 of 4.9.00 =

Submitted.
 Being an amend-
 -ment in the L. Rev. Rules
 this has to be passed
 in Council -
 Sent to Profs &
 8 copies of cleared proof
 called for 28/9

14434

10/10/00

drafts sent
21-9-00
22-9-00
23-9-00
24-9-00
25-9-00
26-9-00
27-9-00
28-9-00
29-9-00
30-9-00
1-10-00
2-10-00
3-10-00
4-10-00
5-10-00
6-10-00
7-10-00
8-10-00
9-10-00
10-10-00
11-10-00
12-10-00
13-10-00
14-10-00
15-10-00
16-10-00
17-10-00
18-10-00
19-10-00
20-10-00
21-10-00
22-10-00
23-10-00
24-10-00
25-10-00
26-10-00
27-10-00
28-10-00
29-10-00
30-10-00
31-10-00
1-11-00
2-11-00
3-11-00
4-11-00
5-11-00
6-11-00
7-11-00
8-11-00
9-11-00
10-11-00
11-11-00
12-11-00
13-11-00
14-11-00
15-11-00
16-11-00
17-11-00
18-11-00
19-11-00
20-11-00
21-11-00
22-11-00
23-11-00
24-11-00
25-11-00
26-11-00
27-11-00
28-11-00
29-11-00
30-11-00
1-12-00
2-12-00
3-12-00
4-12-00
5-12-00
6-12-00
7-12-00
8-12-00
9-12-00
10-12-00
11-12-00
12-12-00
13-12-00
14-12-00
15-12-00
16-12-00
17-12-00
18-12-00
19-12-00
20-12-00
21-12-00
22-12-00
23-12-00
24-12-00
25-12-00
26-12-00
27-12-00
28-12-00
29-12-00
30-12-00
31-12-00

20

Directed by: Head

Rs. 1000.

Shroff — as. 1000
" " Dry Shroff " 750.

Taluk Jay: " " 500.

As regards the pay of the
Officers, please see notes
on the Agenda paper below
Serial no (8).

Submitted to Secretary
for orders

✓
17/8/00

Helgum

Sub to my dear

13694

18/8/00

218/8/12

Then -

conflict of opinion cannot
 I' offer. The officers' letters
 may be referred to the
 Compti who, talking with
 command^r, the pay of the
 officers concerned, their
 responsibilities and duties
 as compared with the bulk of work
 in each branch, may be
 asked to furnish first with his
 formal views on the pro-
 posed scale and second
 Conf. 20th Dec 1897/1900 (R.H.)

responsible and duties
as compared with the bulk of work
in each Treasury may be

asked Hume first with his
faded eyes, on the pro

4000 Seal 28 Sec
 18/8/1900

19th Dec
✓ 30th Dec
SS 2nd Lt

M 2

✓ clearly with an arrow

Grafton
88 W 18th

22

11

Passed

MATTER FOR COUNCIL MEETING

SUBJECT.

The amount of security to be
furnished by the Deputy
Sheriff of a District Treasury.

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,

15-10-1900

M. S. S. S. S.
for Secretary.

Name	Date of receipt.	Date when passed on
Rajadharma Pravina, T. R. A. Thumboo Chetty, Esq., C.I.E.	Sec by offg Secy	
P.N. Krishna Murti, Esq., C.I.E.	archive 7 PM 19.10.00	16.10.00 22.10.00
V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq. C.I.E.		
The Secretary ...		

Princile Reshu put up
26/10/00

(1)

3542

ULL 1899 - *900.

682

(2)

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Rev. Departments.

Dated 27th November 1899.

Despatched

Received

General No. 470

No. 393

Enclosure No.

Submitted with an
office note -
288
20/12/99

Open	4.7
	490633
	3
	2

States that 5000 Rs. would
be enough security for the
Deputy Sheriff of the Shimoga
District Treasury & request
to obtain the orders of Govt
in the matter.

R SS

30.11.99

A spare copy of
Govt Pro: No. 6356-64

726/2/80

5/12/99

11/12

No. $\frac{470}{393}$

2

Shermoga District
Deputy Commr's Office

Dated 27th November 1899.

To.

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring
to notice of Government that Rule
VIII Para I of the Rules framed
under the Mysore Land Revenue
Code lays down that the District
Treasury Shroff shall furnish a
security to the amount of Rs 1000/-
There are at present 2 Shroffs
attached to the Treasury of this
District viz a Head Shroff on
Rs 25/- and a Deputy Shroff
on Rs 20/- and as the rule
makes no mention of the Deputy
Shroff -

3

Shroff, i.e., it has been the practice to require the Deputy Shroff also to furnish a similar security, presumably on the authority of Chief Commissioner's order No. 6356-64-Vis 639 dated 26th February 1880 which referred to Shroffs at District Treasuries.

2. The amount of security to be furnished by a Shroff must be in proportion to his emoluments and the responsibility attached to his duties. With regard to the first point the pay of the Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury viz Rs. 20/- is practically the same as that of a Taluk Treasury Shroff (15-1-2). As to the 2nd I am of opinion that the responsibility borne by the Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury is certainly less than that of a Taluk Treasury Shroff who gives a security of Rs 500/-, because the former works under the

4

Head Shroff, while the latter does the whole work in Treasuries where sometimes the cash is quite as considerable in amount as in District Treasuries.

3. I understand that prior to the reduction of Establishment during 1880, there were 3 Shroffs attached to the District Treasury, viz a Head Shroff on Rs 30 rising to Rs 40/- and 2 Deputy Shroffs on Rs 10 rising to Rs 15/- each, and that the Head Shroff had furnished security to the extent of Rs 1000/- and each of the Deputy Shroffs in Rs 500/-. In connection with this it may also be observed that the Head and Deputy Shroffs of the State Huzur Khazana have each to furnish security of Rs. 500/-, though the former of whom, I am informed, draws a pay of Rs 30 or 35 per month.

4 A Shroff of one of the Taluk Treasuries has—

5

has been taken as a security staff
recently and he represents that he has
some difficulty in furnishing cash at
security. I am inclined to think that
5000. lbs. would be enough security.

in his case for reasons mentioned above
I request that you may be so good
as to obtain the orders of Government
on the matter

I have the honour to be,

Sr,

your most obedient servant.

Wm. A. R. W. W.

Deputy Commissioner
Simpson Street

1/10/22

6

Section...	Q.7
File No.....	49 of 99.
Serial No.....	2

Draft after order (3)

See Copy letter No. $\frac{470}{393}$
 Dated 27th November 1899
 from the Deputy Commr.
 Shimoga District, Soli-
 citing orders as to whether
 it is not sufficient to
 take a security of Rs. 500/-
 from the Deputy or Asst.
 Sheriff of a District
 Treasury.

✓
 No. $\frac{4640}{71: 583}$ 823/12/99. Copy forwarded
 23. to the Compt^r, Mysore
 Govt, for favor of
 opinion.

By order

For Secretary.

28
 22/12/99

7

9.7
21 12 11
3

S. 11. 2

From

RECEIVED
4630
7c 1998
DEPARTMENT

1900

(4)

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.
COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Dept.

Dated 18/1/1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 3632
No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

*Furnishing opinion
in re Security of the
By. Shroff of the Munsifa
District -*

REFERENCES

To be entered only in the Dewan's Office.

S
Refused to sign.

26/1/00.

*29.1.00. Subt to office
meets Contd. 2.2.00*

Ref 2241
22.1.1900
ho 3632

8

DEPARTMENT.
OFFICE OF THE
COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE, 18th January 1900
20

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
General and Revenue DEPT.

SIR,

With reference to your docket- No 4640
76583
dated 23rd December 1899 forwarding for opinion a copy
of letter No 470/393 dated 27th November 1899 from the
Deputy Commissioners, Shimoga District, in re
the sufficiency of security to be given by the Deputy
Shroff of his District-Treasury, I have the honor
to state that I consider Rs 500/- as sufficient
security to be given by the Shroff in question
provided there be no necessity to entrust
him at any time with more than that
Amount.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. M. M. M.
Comptroller

9

⑤

Section	E. 7
File No.	49 of 99.
Sub No.	4

Here Inter letter
 No 3632 of the 18th
 January 1900, from the
 Comptroller in Mysore,
 regarding the security
 to be furnished by
 the Dep. Secy of the
 Shewan & Co. which
 Incorrry.

No 5673
 H. 791
 27/2/00

Copies of the above is
 forwarded to the
 Dep. Commr Shewan
 & Co, with reference
 to his letter No
 1470 of 27th November
 99

with a request that ^{to}
he will be good
enough to state
whether he proposes
to give effect to the
proviso therein made.

B. O.
By
J. C. C. as
3/2/00

11

Sec	2.7
	22 2697
	5

GLA 6052
1257

6

1893. 700

FROM *The High Commission
London & District*
To *The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Mysore & Raichur Districts*

Dated 11 - March 1900

Despatched *cl.*

Received

General No. 805 1899. 900

No. 667

Enclosure No. — *nil*

*Addressing to Govt. Mysore
No. 5673 of 7. Feb/90,
751
states that the paper
sent off to the High
Commissionary has
been agreed to furnish
security to the amount
of Rs 500/—*

12/3/00

Respectfully

*W.S.
20/3/00*

12-3-00

Encl's with spec. memo contain

2/3.

No 805

66

Surin

12

Surin District

Depy Commisariat Office

Surin 14 March 1900

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

Adverting to Government Memo

No 5673 dated the 7th February 1900

H. 791

forwarding a copy of letter No 3832

dated the 1st January 1900 from

the Comptroller, regarding the suffi-

ciency of security to be given by

the Deputy Sheriff of the District

of Mysore, I have the

honour to state that the

Deputy Sheriff of Mysore to

have a copy of the Comptroller's

letter quoted above was for-

warded for opinion, states that

No 500 is a sufficient security

to be taken from the Deputy

Sheriff inasmuch as he is

not in independent charge

and is only bound to the

Sheriff -

2. I have accordingly referred

the Deputy Sheriff to furnish

security

According to the remark of
 Mr. 500p. having due regard
 to the fact that he is not
 to be entrusted at any
 time with more than that
 sum -

I have the honor to be
 Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Balamchandran
 for Deputy Commissioner

1916

14 In cash

Draft as per order

No 3654-60 S. 18/10.
Fl. 1128 18

(8)

Serial No.	6
File No.	49 of 99
Page No.	2-7

Circular order

The accompanying draft Notification amending Rule VIII of the Land Revenue Rules (so as to provide for the amount of security to be furnished by the Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury is circulated to the Deputy Commissioners ~~of Districts~~ ~~(except Shemoga)~~, with a request that ~~they~~ ^{he} will be good enough to furnish Government with ~~their~~ ^{his} opinion in the matter.

12/6/00

For Secretary

To the Deputy Commissioners of Districts except Shemoga

16 Deputy Commissioner's office.
Tumkur District.

No. 970 Dated, Tumkur 23rd June 1900.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to your circular order

no. 8654-60 dated 18th June 1900, I have
FL 1128

the honor to state that the proposed
rule requiring the Deputy Shroff of the
District Treasury to furnish security
for Rs 500/- is good, and may be adopted.


I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Mr. Marain Rao
Deputy Commissioner.

Wm.

17



(10)

Section	9.7
File No.	49 of 99.
Serial	8

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & Genl Depts

Dated }
Despatched } 25th June 1900.

Received

General No. Camp 267
No.

Enclosure No. —

Subject.

Furnishing opinion
regarding the amendment
of Rule VIII of the Land
Rei Rules, so as to provide
for the amount of security
to be furnished by the
Deputy Sheriffs of District
Treasuries.

R

26. 6. 00

Ret.

18 Deputy Commissioner's office Kolari
District.
Dated the 25th June 1900.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolari District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Depto

Sir,

With reference to Government
Circular order No. 8654-60 dated the
18th June 1900 forwarding for opinion a
draft Notification amending Rule VIII
of the Land Revenue Rules, so as to provide
for the amount of security to be furnished
by the Deputy Sheriffs of District Treasuries,
I have the honor to state that the
amendment made may do.


I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most obedient servant


Deputy Commissioner

19



(11)

Section	C-7
File No	470629
Serial No	9

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To The Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
General and Revenue Deptts.
Bangalore

Dated 28th June 1900.

Despatched

Received

General No. 885.
No. 841-

Enclosure No. //

Furnishing opinion in
regard to the amount of security
to be furnished by the Deputy-
Shroff of a District Treasury.

Handwritten:
GAC
2
2.7.00
22.6

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mitaldeoig District

90
(No: 885.
84/1.

Dated 28th June 1900.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Circular

order No.: 8634-60 dated 18th instant
JL. 1128

forwarding for opinion draft
notification amending Rule VIII of
the Land Revenue Rules so as to provide
for the amount of security to be
furnished by the Deputy Shroff
of a District Treasury, I have the
honor to state that the modifi-
cation suggested may be carried out.


I have the honor to be,
Sir

Your most obedient servant

M. A. A. A. A.

Deputy Commissioner

21



FROM THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Madras District

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General & Revenue Dept.

Dated 6th }
Despatched 7th } July 1900

Received

General No. 13
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Giving opinion as to
regards the security to
be furnished by a Deputy
Sheriff of a District
Treasury.

Sec	E-7
File	49 of 99
Serial	10

G.N.
R. C. Cant & Co.
10-7-00

22

Deputy Commissioner's Office
 Madras District
 Chikmagalur 6th July, 1900

From

J. E. C. Carr Esquire
 Deputy Commissioner
 Madras District

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
 General and Revenue Depto.

Sir,


With reference to Government circular
 order, no 8654-60 SC 1128, of the 18th Ultimo, calling
 for opinion in the matter of reducing the
 amount of security to be furnished by
 a Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury,
 I have the honor to state that, the acting
 Treasury Officer of this District is of
 opinion that the amount of security
 furnished by the Deputy Shroff should
 not be reduced, for reasons stated
 in his docket no. 6 of the 4th instant
 (a copy of which is herewith enclosed).
 I concur with the opinion given by
 the Treasury Officer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. E. Carr

 Deputy Commissioner
 Madras District

From

The Treasury Officer
Madurai District

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Madurai Districtdated 4th July 1900

No. 6.

His Memo No 1024 dated 23rd Ultimo
forwarding for opinion copy of the Gov-
ernment circular order No 8654-60 dated
18th idem. 2C 1128

With reference to the above has
the honor to state that the duties and
responsibilities of both the Head and
Deputy, Shroffs of the District Treasury
being one and the same, the under-
signed considers that both of them
should be required to furnish the
same amount of security as it is
at present.

H/O Ananda Rao S. Sirsi
Treasury Officer
Madurai District


Copy-

J.C. Am

Deputy Commr
Madurai District

24

189 7900.1901



(13)

S.	77
S. No.	11

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated

Despatched

Received

108 } July 1900

Enclosures No.

General No. 30.

Giving opinion on the draft
notification amending Rule VIII
of the Land Revenue Rules -

G. H.
R
12.7.01
Gave to
Complete
22/7/01

No. 30
Enclosures No. —

25

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner.
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 10th July 1900 189

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

In reply to circular order No. 8654-60, dated Jul 1128, 18th June 1900, forwarding for my opinion, a draft notification amending Rule VIII of the Land Revenue Rules so as to provide for the amount of security to be furnished by the Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury, I have the honor to state that the proposed amendment fixing the amount of security required of the District Treasury Deputy Shroff at Rs. 500 seems appropriate as his pay viz. Rs. 20- is the same as the maximum pay of the post of a Taluk Shroff.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant




comprised

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

26

70.40



1890/1901

(14)

The Dy. Commissioner
of the District of Mysore

Se	2.7
	4.7.26.13
	12

To The Secretary to
Government of Mysore
Rev and Genl. Departments
Bangalore.

Dated 11th
Despatched 12th } July 1900

Received

General No.
No. 55

Enclosure No.

Secretary Circular Order
No. 8654-60 of the 18th ultimo
Jl 1128

Submitting opinion on the
subject of security to be
furnished by the Deputy
Shroff of a District Treasury

2
13.7.00
G.N.
collated
Jomb

D. 11/55

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore. 11th July 1900.

1/55

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue & General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your circular order no. $\frac{8654-60}{H: 1128}$ of the 18th ultimo, on the subject of security to be furnished by the Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Treasury Assistant Commissioner of this District in thinking that there is but a slight difference in pay between the Head and the Deputy Shroffs, that their responsibilities

responsibilities are equal, and
no distinction can be made,
and that the present rule of
the Deputy Shroff furnishing a
security of Rs 1000/- may con-
-tinue to be in force, as here-
-tofore.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M. K. Murugappa

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Yours

29

REVENUE
GL. No. 1192
AUG. 9 - 1900 - 189
From R. No. 168 1900-1901. (16)

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bapan District

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rec. Department.

Dated

6th

Despatched

August 1900.

Received

General No. 80
No. S.

Enclosure No. none.

In reply to No 8654-60 Dated
18th June 1900.
PL: 1128

Submitting opinion
as regards security to
be furnished by the
Deputy Sheriff of a
District Treasury for

76
16/8/00

R

9. 11. 00

Refused to ship.

H.S.

14/8/00. Note Contd. subd
11/8/00

S	27
I	14 24 25
S. R. A.	13

N^o 80
S.

30

Deputy Commissioner's office.
Hassan District.
Dated Hassan 6th August 1900

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Dep^{ts}.

Sir,

With reference to your circular
order N^o. 8654-60 dated 18th June 1900 forwa
FL 1128
ing a draft notification amending
Rule VIII of the Land Revenue Rules so as
to provide for the amount of Security to
be furnished by the Deputy Shroff of
a District-Treasury and calling for
my opinion in the matter, I have the
honour to state that the responsibility
which attaches to the office of Shroff is

is the same in the case of both Head and Deputy Shroffs. If, as I presume, it is the wish of Government to reduce the amount of security in the case of the Deputy Shroff on the score of his pay being less than that of the Head Shroff, the case of the Jaluk Treasury Shroff should in fairness claim the attention of Government for indulgence, because both his pay and responsibility are less than those of the Shroffs named above.

I am therefore of opinion that a graduated ^{*}scale of security as specified in the margin would be just and equitable and would avoid future

District Treasury Head Shroff Rs. 1000.
Do - Deputy Do - Rs. 750.
Jaluk Treasury Shroff Rs. 500.

32

representations from the Taluk
Treasury Shroffs.

I have the honour to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

M. J. P.

For Deputy Commissioner
Ch.

Hazara District.

33

Draft as per orders

To.

W. 17

tion	E. F.
	49 of 99
trial No.	1/4

The Comptroller,
Mysore Govt.

Dated 22/8/00
 No 1700 in 8. with encls.
 22/8/00
 22/8/00

The Comptroller's letter
 No. 3632 dated 18th January
 1900 stating that Rs. 500 is a
 sufficient security to be
 given by the Dy Sheriff of
 a District Treasury, pro-
 vided that he will not be
 entrusted at any time with
 more than the security amount.

Referring to the above, has
 the honor to state that
 the Dy Commrs of Holar,
 Tumkur, Mysore, Chital-
 droog and Shimoga agree
 that the amount of security
 to be furnished by the Dy
 Sheriff may be fixed at Rs. 500/
 while the Dy Commrs of Bangalore
 and Madur state that the

duties and responsibilities
of both the Head and
Deputy Sheriffs being
equal, the present rule
under which they are
required to furnish a
Security of Rs. 1000 each,
may stand good. The

34

Dy Commr., Hassan dt.,
states that the Head & Dy
Sheriffs have the same
responsibility attached
to their offices, & that if
it is considered expedient
to reduce the amount of
Security to be furnished
by the latter, the claim of
the Taluk Treasury Sheriffs.

whose pay and responsibility
are less than those of the
District Treasury Sheriffs.

also calls for indulgence, & suggests
therefore that a gradu-
ated scale of Security
may be prescribed as
noted in the margin.

2. The ~~comptroller~~ ^{Dy Commr.} ~~will~~ ^{with}
now

Dist. Treas: Hd Sheriffs. Rs. 1000.

" " Dy do - Rs. 750.

Taluk Treas: do - Rs. 500.

x (in original to be obliquely
returned)

The undersigned Mr. Smith, who
therefore requested to taking
into consideration, the
fray of the officials con-
-cerned, their responsibilities
and duties as compared
with the bulk of work
in each Treasury, and
favor going with his
final views on the
proposed Scale of Security

By Order


21/8/20

Secretary

Received
5/15 PM.
21/8/20
Signed 1. PM.
22/8/20

26

DEPARTMENT



(18)

SS
Order in the
note 2 sub
H. H. G.

Attended to 4 sub-
12/9/00

Section	2.7
Page	49993
Page	15

THE COMPTROLLER,
Mysore Govt.

To

THE SECY. TO GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Rev. Secy

Dept.

Dated

Despatched 4 - 9 - 00

Received

No. 1431 E. 8
No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

In returning the inclosures of
his pocket book 1700 dated 22nd
August 1900. - Inverting attention
to this office by 3632 dated
18th January last and to state
that the amount of security
of the District head shroff
may be allowed to remain
as at present at Rs. 1000/-

The Dy Shroff's security amount
may be reduced to 500/-

The shroffs of the Taluk Treasurers
security may not be reduced as they
solely responsible for all cash
transactions -

Subd. Secy. to Govt. 12/9/00

12/9/00

37

~~Recourse~~ DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE

BANGALORE, 30th August 1900

4-9

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General & Revenue DEPT.

Sir,

In returning the enclosures of your docket No. 1700/F1.14^b dated 22nd August 1900 in re' security to be given by shroffs of the District and Taluk Treasuries, I have the honour to invite your attention to this office No 3632 dated 18th January last and to state that the amount of security of the district head shroff may be allowed to remain as at present at Rs. 1,000/. The Deputy shroffs - are I believe, working under the head shroffs as their - assistants and as such not being entrusted with the same amount of cash nor invested with the same independent responsibility, receive only smaller pay. Their security amount may be reduced to Rs. 500/. I am not in favour of reducing the amount of security in the case of shroffs in in the Taluk treasuries as they are solely responsible for all cash transactions in these treasuries.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E. R. Subrayer

Comptroller.

38 Draft as per orders for appl

(19)

Section	2.7
F. No	29093
Serial No	16

Read:—

Correspondence ending with letter no 805 & 14.3.1900 from the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist, regarding the modification of the existing rule, under which the Deputy Sheriff of a District Treasury is required to furnish a security of Rs. 1000.

Also, the following communications from the Dy Commrs of other districts, & the Compt, Mysore Govt, expressing their views in the matter:—

- (1) No. Date from whom.
- (1) 970. 23.6.00 D.C. Tumkur.
- (2) 267. 25.6.00 D.C. Kolar.
- (3) 885. 23.6.00 D.C. Chitaldrug.
- 841
- (4) 13. 6.7.00 D.C. Kasur.
- (5) 30. 10.7.00 D.C. Mysore.
- (6) 55. 11.7.00 D.C. Bangalore.
- (7) 80. 6.8.00 D.C. Hassan.
- (8) Correspondence ending with No 1431 & 4.9.1900 from the Compt, Mysore Govt.

Order thereon:—

The Dy Commr, Shimoga District, States that Rule viii

para 1 of the Mysore Land Revenue Rules which 39
requires the District Treasury
Sheroff to furnish a Security
of Rs. 1000, does not specify
the amount of Security to
be taken from the Deputy
Sheroff of the same Treasury,
who is, however, required
to give a Security of Rs. 1000/-
presumably under the
late Chief Commr's order
No 6356-64 & 26-2-1880, and
mis 639
requests orders as to whether
Rs. 500/- is not a sufficient
Security in his case con-
sidering that his responsi-
bility in a District Treasury
is less than that of a Taluk
Treasury Sheroff who is
required to give a Security of
Rs. 500/- The Dy Commrs
of Cholar, Tumkur, Mysore
and Chitaldroog are in
favor of reducing the Security
amount in the case of the
Dy Sheroff from Rs. 1000 to
Rs. 500/- while the Dy Commrs
of Bangalore & Kolar are

of opinion that the amount
in the case of both the Head
& Dry Shroffs as they have
the same responsibilities.
The Dy Commr of the Hassan
District states that if the
amount of Security in the
case of the Dry Shroff is to
be reduced to Rs. 500/- as
account of his pay being less
than that of the Head Shroff,
the case of the Taluk Dry Shroff
whose pay & responsibility
are less than those of the other
two Shroffs, should also
be taken into consideration,
& therefore suggest that the
Security to be furnished by
the District Treasury Head
& Dry Shroffs & the Taluk
Dry Shroff, be fixed at
Rs. 1000, 750, & 500 respectively.
The Comptroller of opinion that
as the Dry Shroffs in Dist.
Treasury, who work under
the Head Shroff as their
assistants, and, as such, are
not entrusted with the
same amount of Cash as

~~entrusted~~ invested with the 11
same independent responsibility.
- bility. receive, only smaller
pay, their Security amount
may be reduced to Rs 500/-
& that the amount of Security
in the case of Shroffs of Taluk
Treasuries need not be re-
duced, as they are solely
responsible for all cash
transactions in those Treasuries -

2. The Govt consider that
the practice of taking a
Security of 1000^{Rs} from the
Dy Shroffs of District
Treasuries is not quite in
keeping with the emolu-
ments and responsibilities
attached to their duties
and accordingly direct
that the Security in their
case be reduced to Rs. 500/-
Subject strictly to the pro-
-viso that they are not,
at any time, entrusted
with more than their
Security amount.

3. The following Notfy.

42 will also be published in the Gazette.

Notification

The Govt of Mysore are pleased to direct that the following be substituted for "District Treasury Shroff" Rs. 1000" in para 1, Rule VIII of the Mysore Land Rev. Rules:—

"District Treasury } Rs. 1000/-
Head Shroff }
Do - Deputy Shroff " 500/-"

Permit. Secretary

To.

The Dy Commr of writto:
Compt., Mysore Govt.

Note

A copy of the notfn only should be sent to the Comptroller, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Eng: & Canarese —

43

19

1900-1901

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th October 1900

No. 3479-87
FL. 322

Directing that the security required
from the Deputy Shroffs of District Treasuries be reduced to Rs. 500.

SBP-29-10-1900-200

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore,

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 805—667, dated 14th March 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga district, regarding the modification of the existing rule, under which the Deputy Shroff of a District Treasury is required to furnish a security of Rs. 1,000.

Also, the following communications from the Deputy Commissioners of other districts and the Comptroller, Mysore Government, expressing their views in the matter.

No.	Order No.	Date.	From whom.
1	979 ...	23rd June 1900 ...	Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.
2	267 ...	25th do ...	Ditto Kolar.
3	885—811...	28th do ...	Ditto Chitaldrug.
4	13 ...	6th July 1900 ...	Ditto Kadur.
5	30 ...	10th do ...	Ditto Mysore.
6	55 ...	11th do ...	Ditto Bangalore.
7	80 ...	6th August 1900 ...	Ditto Hassan.
8	1431 ...	4th September 1900 ...	Correspondence ending with Comptroller Mysore Government.

No. 3479-87—FL. 322, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH OCTOBER 1900.

ORDER THEREON—The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga district states that Rule VIII, para 1 of the Mysore Land Revenue Rules which requires the District Treasury Shroff to furnish a security of Rs. 1,000, does not specify the amount of security to be taken from the Deputy Shroff of the same Treasury, who is, however, required to give a security of Rs. 1,000, presumably under the late Chief Commissioner's Order No. 6356-64—Mis. 639, dated 26th February 1880, and requests orders as to whether Rs. 500, is not a sufficient security in his case considering that his responsibility in a District Treasury is less than that of a Taluk Treasury Shroff who is required to give a security of Rs. 500. The Deputy Commissioners of Kolar, Tumkur, Mysore and Chitaldrug are in favor of reducing the security amount in the case of the Deputy Shroff from Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 500, while the Deputy Commissioners of Bangalore and Kadur are of opinion that the amount of security should be equal in the case of both the Head and Deputy Shroffs as they have the same responsibilities. The Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan district states that if the amount of security in the case of the Deputy Shroff is to be reduced to Rs. 500, on account of his pay being less than that of the Head Shroff, the case of the Taluk Treasury Shroff, whose pay and responsibility are less than those of the other two shroffs, should also be taken into consideration, and therefore suggests that the securities to be furnished by the District Treasury Head and Deputy Shroffs and the Taluk Treasury Shroff be fixed at Rs. 1,000, 750, and 500, respectively. The Comptroller is of opinion that as the Deputy Shroffs in District Treasuries, who work under the Head Shroffs as their assistants, and as such, are not entrusted with the same amount of cash, nor invested with the same independent responsibility, receive only smaller pay, their security amount may be reduced to Rs. 500 and that the amount of security in the case of shroffs of Taluk Treasuries need not be reduced, as they are solely responsible for all cash transactions in those Treasuries.

2. The Government consider that the practice of taking a security of Rs. 1,000, from the Deputy Shroff of District Treasuries is not quite in keeping

45

Financial, dated 27th October 1900.

with the emoluments and responsibilities attached to their duties and accordingly direct that the security in their case be reduced to Rs, 500, subject strictly to the proviso that they are not, at any time, entrusted with more than their security amount.

3. The following Notification will also be published in the *Gazette*.

NOTIFICATION

No. 3488—FL. 323, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH OCTOBER 1900.

The Government of Mysore are pleased to direct that the following be submitted for "District Treasury Shroff Rs. 1,000" in para 1, Rule VIII of the Mysore Land Revenue Rules.

District Treasury Head Shroff	..	...	..	1,000"
Do Deputy Shroff		...	..	500"

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

~~Exd.~~—H.A.B.